arXiv:1405.4416v1 [math.PR] 17 May 2014

Stochastic analysis for Poisson processes

Günter Last*

May 20, 2014

Abstract

This survey is a preliminary version of a chapter of the forthcoming book [21]. The paper develops some basic theory for the stochastic analysis of Poisson process on a general σ-finite measure space. After giving some fundamental definitions and properties (as the multivariate Mecke equation) the paper presents the Fock space representation of square-integrable functions of a Poisson process in terms of iterated difference operators. This is followed by the introduction of multivariate stochastic Wiener-Itô integrals and the discussion of their basic properties. The paper then proceeds with proving the chaos expansion of square-integrable Poisson functionals, and defining and discussing Malliavin operators. Further topics are products of Wiener-Itô integrals and Mehler's formula for the inverse of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator based on a dynamic thinning procedure. The survey concludes with covariance identities, the Poincaré inequality and the FKG-inequality.

Keywords: Poisson process, Fock space representation, Wiener-Itô integrals, chaos expansion, Malliavin calculus, Mehler's formula, covariance identities, Poincaré inequality
Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60G55, 60H07

1 Basic properties of a Poisson process

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathscr{X})$ be a measurable space. The idea of a *point process* with *state space* $\mathbb{X}$ is that of a random countable subset of $\mathbb{X}$, defined over a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{A}, \mathbb{P})$. It is both convenient and mathematically fruitful to define a point process as a random element η in the space $\mathbf{N}_\sigma(\mathbb{X}) \equiv \mathbf{N}_\sigma$ of all σ-finite measure χ on $\mathbb{X}$ such that $\chi(B) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ for all $B \in \mathscr{X}$. To do so, we equip $\mathbf{N}_\sigma$ with the smallest σ-field $\mathscr{N}_\sigma(\mathbb{X}) \equiv \mathscr{N}_\sigma$ of subsets of $\mathbf{N}_\sigma$ such that $\chi \mapsto \chi(B)$ is measurable for all $B \in \mathscr{X}$. Then $\eta : \Omega \to \mathbf{N}_\sigma$ is a point process if and only if $\{\eta(B) = k\} \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : \eta(\omega, B) = k\} \in \mathscr{A}$ for all $B \in \mathscr{X}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Here we write $\eta(\omega, B)$ instead of the more clumsy $\eta(\omega)(B)$. We wish to stress that the results of this survey do not require special (topological) assumptions on the state space.

The *Dirac measure* δ_x at the point $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is the measure on $\mathbb{X}$ defined by $\delta_x(B) = \mathbb{1}_B(x)$, where $\mathbb{1}_B$ is the indicator function of $B \in \mathscr{X}$. If X is a random element of $\mathbb{X}$, then

*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut für Stochastik, Kaiserstraße 89, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany. Email: guenter.last@kit.edu

δ_X is a point process on $\mathbb{X}$. Suppose, more generally, that $X_1, \dots, X_m$ are independent random elements in $\mathbb{X}$ with distribution $\mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\eta := \delta_{X_1} + \cdots + \delta_{X_m} \tag{1.1}$$

is a point process on $\mathbb{X}$. Because

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta(B) = k) = \binom{m}{k} \mathbb{Q}(B)^k (1 - \mathbb{Q}(B))^{m-k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

η is referred to a *binomial process* with *sample size* m and *sampling distribution* $\mathbb{Q}$. Taking an infinite sequence $X_1, X_2, \dots$ of independent random variables with distribution $\mathbb{Q}$ and replacing in (1.1) the deterministic sample size m by an independent $\mathbb{Z}_+$-valued random variable κ (and interpreting an empty sum as null measure) yields a *mixed binomial process*. Of particular interest is the case, where κ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda \geq 0$, see also (1.5) below. It is then easy to check that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\left[- \int u(x) \eta(\mathrm{d}x) \right] = \exp\left[- \int (1 - e^{-u(x)}) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \right], \tag{1.2}$$

for any measurable function $u : \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty)$, where $\mu := \lambda \mathbb{Q}$. It is convenient to write this as

$$\mathbb{E} \exp[-\eta(u)] = \exp\big[- \mu(1 - e^{-u}) \big], \tag{1.3}$$

where $\nu(u)$ denotes the integral of a measurable function u with respect to a measure ν. Clearly,

$$\mu(B) = \mathbb{E}\eta(B), \quad B \in \mathscr{X}, \tag{1.4}$$

so that μ is the *intensity measure* of η. The identity (1.3) or elementary probabilistic arguments show that η has *independent increments*, that is the random variables $\eta(B_1), \dots, \eta(B_m)$ are stochastically independent whenever $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathscr{X}$ are pairwise disjoint. Moreover, $\eta(B)$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter $\mu(B)$, that is

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta(B) = k) = \frac{\mu(B)^k}{k!} \exp[-\mu(B)], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{1.5}$$

Let μ be a σ-finite measure on $\mathbb{X}$. A *Poisson process* with intensity measure μ is a point process η on $\mathbb{X}$ with independent increments such that (1.5) holds, where an expression of the form $\infty e^{-\infty}$ is interpreted as 0. It is easy to see that these two requirements determine the distribution $\mathbb{P}_\eta := \mathbb{P}(\eta \in \cdot)$ of a Poisson process η. We have seen above that a Poisson process exists for a finite measure μ. In the general case it can be constructed as a countable sum of independent Poisson processes, see [17, 11, 14] for more detail. Equation (1.3) remains valid. Another consequence of this construction is a representation of the form

$$\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{\eta(\mathbb{X})} \delta_{X_n}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ are random elements in $\mathbb{X}$. This is one of the reasons why it is sufficient to work with a general σ-finite measure space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathscr{X}, \mu)$ and to define a Poisson process as a random element in the space $\mathbf{N}_\sigma$ of σ-finite measures on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathscr{X})$.

Let η be a Poisson process with intensity measure μ. A classical and extremely useful formula by Mecke [17] says that

$$\mathbb{E}\int h(\eta, x)\eta(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}\int h(\eta + \delta_x, x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \tag{1.7}$$

for all measurable $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty]$. One can use the mixed binomial representation to prove this result for finite Poisson processes. An equivalent formulation is

$$\mathbb{E}\int h(\eta - \delta_x, x)\eta(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}\int h(\eta, x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) \tag{1.8}$$

for all measurable $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty]$. Although $\eta - \delta_x$ is in general a signed measure, we can use (1.6) to see that

$$\int h(\eta - \delta_x, x)\eta(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_i h\Big(\sum_{j\neq i} \delta_{X_j}, X_i\Big)$$

is almost surely well defined. Both (1.7) and (1.8) characterize the distribution of a Poisson process with given intensity measure μ.

Equation (1.7) admits a useful generalization involving multiple integration. To formulate this version we consider, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the m-th power $(\mathbb{X}^m, \mathscr{X}^m)$ of $(\mathbb{X}, \mathscr{X})$. Let η be given by (1.6). We define another point process $\eta^{(m)}$ on $\mathbb{X}^m$ by

$$\eta^{(m)}(C) = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m \leq \eta(\mathbb{X})}^{\neq} \mathbb{1}_C(X_{i_1}, \ldots, X_{i_m}), \quad C \in \mathscr{X}^m, \tag{1.9}$$

where the superscript $\neq$ indicates summation over m-tuples with pairwise different entries. The multivariate version of (1.7) (see e.g. [14]) says that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\int & h(\eta, x_1, \ldots, x_m)\eta^{(m)}(\mathrm{d}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\int h(\eta + \delta_{x_1} + \cdots + \delta_{x_m}, x_1, \ldots, x_m)\mu^m(\mathrm{d}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)),\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

for all measurable $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X}^m \to [0, \infty]$. In particular the *factorial moment measures* of η are given by

$$\mathbb{E}\eta^{(m)} = \mu^m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.11}$$

Of course (1.10) remains true for a measurable $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ provided that the right-hand side is finite when replacing h with $|h|$.

2 Fock space representation

In the remainder of this paper we consider a Poisson process η on $\mathbb{X}$ with σ-finite intensity measure μ and distribution $\mathbb{P}_\eta$.

In this and later sections the following *difference operators* will play a crucial role. For any $f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$ (the set of all measurable functions from $\mathbf{N}_\sigma$ to $\mathbb{R}$) and $x \in \mathbb{X}$ the function $D_x f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$ is defined by

$$D_x f(\chi) := f(\chi + \delta_x) - f(\chi), \quad \chi \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma. \tag{2.1}$$

Iterating this definition, for $n \geq 2$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n$ we define a function $D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$ inductively by

$$D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f := D^1_{x_1} D^{n-1}_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} f, \tag{2.2}$$

where $D^1 := D$ and $D^0 f = f$. Note that

$$D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f(\chi) = \sum_{J \subset \{1,2,\ldots,n\}} (-1)^{n-|J|} f\Big(\chi + \sum_{j \in J} \delta_{x_j}\Big), \tag{2.3}$$

where $|J|$ denotes the number of elements of J. This shows that $D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f$ is symmetric in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and that $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \chi) \mapsto D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f(\chi)$ is measurable. We define symmetric and measurable functions $T_n f$ on $\mathbb{X}^n$ by

$$T_n f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \mathbb{E} D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f(\eta), \tag{2.4}$$

and we set $T_0 f := \mathbb{E} f(\eta)$, whenever these expectations are defined. By $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ we denote the scalar product in $L^2(\mu^n)$ and by $\| \cdot \|_n$ the associated norm. Let $L^2_s(\mu^n)$ denote the symmetric functions in $L^2(\mu^n)$. Our aim is to prove that the linear mapping $f \mapsto (T_n(f))_{n \geq 0}$ is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ into the *Fock space* given by the direct sum of the spaces $L^2_s(\mu^n)$, $n \geq 0$, (with L^2 norms scaled by $n!^{-1/2}$) and with $L^2_s(\mu^0)$ interpreted as $\mathbb{R}$. In Section 4 we will see that this mapping is surjective. The result (and its proof) is from [12] and can be seen as a crucial first step in the stochastic analysis on Poisson spaces.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} f(\eta) g(\eta) = (\mathbb{E} f(\eta))(\mathbb{E} g(\eta)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle T_n f, T_n g \rangle_n, \tag{2.5}$$

where the series converges absolutely.

We will prepare the proof with some lemmas. Let $\mathscr{X}_0$ be the system of all measurable $B \in \mathscr{X}$ having $\mu(B) < \infty$. Let $\mathbf{F}_0$ be the space of all bounded and measurable functions $v : \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty)$ vanishing outside some $B \in \mathscr{X}_0$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ denote the space of all (bounded and measurable) functions $g : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$g(\chi) = a_1 e^{-\chi(v_1)} + \ldots + a_n e^{-\chi(v_n)}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbf{F}_0$.

Lemma 2.2. *Relation* (2.5) *holds for* $f, g \in \mathbf{G}$.

Proof: By linearity it suffices to consider functions f and g of the form

$$f(\chi) = \exp[-\chi(v)], \quad g(\chi) = \exp[-\chi(w)]$$

for $v, w \in \mathbf{F}_0$. Then we have for $n \geq 1$ that

$$D^n f(\chi) = \exp[-\chi(v)](e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes n},$$

where $(e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n (e^{-v(x_i)} - 1)$. From (1.3) we obtain that

$$T_n f = \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})](e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes n}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $v \in \mathbf{F}_0$ it follows that $T_n f \in L^2_s(\mu^n)$, $n \geq 0$. Using (1.3) again, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} f(\eta) g(\eta) = \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-(v+w)})]. \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand we have from (2.7) (putting $\mu^0(1) := 1$) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle T_n f, T_n g \rangle_n \\
&\quad = \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})] \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-w})] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \mu^n (((e^{-v} - 1)(e^{-w} - 1))^{\otimes n}) \\
&\quad = \exp[-\mu(2 - e^{-v} - e^{-w})] \exp[\mu((e^{-v} - 1)(e^{-w} - 1))].
\end{aligned}$$

This equals the right-hand side of (2.8). □

To extend (2.5) to general $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ we need two further lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. The set $\mathbf{G}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{W}$ be the space of all bounded measurable $g : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ that can be approximated in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ by functions in $\mathbf{G}$. This space is closed under monotone and uniformly bounded convergence and contains the constant functions. The space $\mathbf{G}$ is stable under multiplication and we denote by $\mathscr{N}'$ the smallest σ-field on $\mathbf{N}_\sigma$ such that $\chi \mapsto h(\chi)$ is measurable for all $h \in \mathbf{G}$. A functional version of the monotone class theorem (see e.g. Theorem I.21 in [1]) implies that $\mathbf{W}$ contains any bounded $\mathscr{N}'$-measurable g. On the other hand we have that

$$\chi(C) = \lim_{t \to 0+} t^{-1}(1 - e^{-t\chi(C)}), \quad \chi \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma,$$

for any $C \in \mathscr{X}$. Hence $\chi \mapsto \chi(C)$ is $\mathscr{N}'$-measurable whenever $C \in \mathscr{X}_0$. Since μ is σ-finite, for any $C \in \mathscr{X}$ there is a monotone sequence $C_k \in \mathscr{X}_0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with union C, so that $\chi \mapsto \chi(C)$ is $\mathcal{N}'$-measurable. Hence $\mathscr{N}' = \mathscr{N}_\sigma$ and it follows that $\mathbf{W}$ contains all bounded measurable functions. But then $\mathbf{W}$ is clearly dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ and the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $f, f^1, f^2, \ldots \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ satisfy $f^k \to f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ as $k \to \infty$, and that $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \to [0,1]$ is measurable. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C \in \mathscr{X}_0$ and set $B := C^n$. Then*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_B \mathbb{E}[|D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f(\eta) - D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} f^k(\eta)|h(\eta)]\mu^n(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof: By (2.3), the relation (2.9) is implied by the convergence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_B \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big|f\Big(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{x_i}\Big) - f^k\Big(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{x_i}\Big)\Big|h(\eta)\Big]\mu^n(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for all $m \in \{0,\ldots,n\}$. For $m = 0$ this is obvious. Assume $m \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. Then the integral in (2.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(C)^{n-m}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{C^m} \Big|f\Big(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{x_i}\Big) - f^k\Big(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^m \delta_{x_i}\Big)\Big|h(\eta)\mu^m(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_m))\Big] \\
&\quad = \mu(C)^{n-m}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{C^m} |f(\eta) - f^k(\eta)|h\Big(\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i}\Big)\eta^{(m)}(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_m))\Big] \\
&\quad \le \mu(C)^{n-m}\mathbb{E}[|f(\eta) - f^k(\eta)|\eta^{(m)}(C^m)],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.10) to get the equality. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the last expression is bounded above by

$$\mu(C)^{n-m}(\mathbb{E}[(f(\eta) - f^k(\eta))^2])^{1/2}(\mathbb{E}[(\eta^{(m)}(C^m))^2])^{1/2}.$$

Since the Poisson distribution has moments of all orders, we obtain (2.10) and hence the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1: By linearity and the polarization identity

$$4\langle u, v\rangle_n = \langle u+v, u+v\rangle_n - \langle u-v, u-v\rangle_n$$

it suffices to prove (2.5) for $f = g \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$. By Lemma 2.3 there are $f^k \in \mathbf{G}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying $f^k \to f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ as $k \to \infty$. By Lemma 2.2, Tf^k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{H} := \mathbb{R} \oplus \oplus_{n=1}^\infty L^2_s(\mu^n)$. The direct sum of the scalar products $(n!)^{-1}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_n$ makes $\mathbf{H}$ a Hilbert space. Let $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_n) \in \mathbf{H}$ be the limit, that is

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!}\|T_n f^k - \tilde{f}_n\|_n^2 = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Taking the limit in the identity $\mathbb{E}[f^k(\eta)^2] = \langle Tf^k, Tf^k\rangle_{\mathbf{H}}$ yields $\mathbb{E}[f(\eta)^2] = \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{f}\rangle_{\mathbf{H}}$. Equation (2.11) implies that $\tilde{f}_0 = \mathbb{E}[f(\eta)] = T_0 f$. It remains to show that for any $n \ge 1$,

$$\tilde{f}_n = T_n f, \quad \mu^n\text{-a.e.} \tag{2.12}$$

Let $C \in \mathscr{X}_0$ and $B := C^n$. Let μ^n_B denote the restriction of the measure μ^n to B. By (2.11) $T_n f^k$ converges in $L^2(\mu^n_B)$ (and hence in $L^1(\mu^n_B)$) to $\tilde{f}_n$, while by the definition (2.4) of T_n, and the case $h \equiv 1$ of (2.10), $T_n f^k$ converges in $L^1(\mu^n_B)$ to $T_n f$. Hence these $L^1(\mathbb{P})$ limits must be the same almost everywhere, so that $\tilde{f}_n = T_n f$ μ^n-a.e. on B. Since μ is assumed σ-finite, this implies (2.12) and hence the theorem. □

3 Multiple Wiener-Itô integrals

For $n \geq 1$ and $g \in L^1(\mu^n)$ we define

$$I_n(g) := \sum_{J \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iint g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J) \mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{J^c}), \tag{3.1}$$

where $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $J^c := [n] \setminus J$ and $x_J := (x_j)_{j \in J}$. If $J = \emptyset$, then the inner integral on the right-hand side has to be interpreted as $\mu^n(g)$. (This is to say that $\eta^{(0)}(1) := 1$.) The multivariate Mecke equation (1.10) implies that all integrals in (3.1) are finite and that $\mathbb{E} I_n(g) = 0$.

Given functions $g_i : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the *tensor product* $\otimes_{i=1}^n g_i$ is the function from $\mathbb{X}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which maps each $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ to $\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i)$. When the functions $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ are all the same function h, we write $h^{\otimes n}$ for this tensor product function. In this case the definition (3.1) simplifies to

$$I_n(h^{\otimes n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} \eta^{(k)}(h^{\otimes k}) (\mu(h))^{n-k}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let Σ_n denote the set of all permutations of $[n]$, and for $g \in \mathbb{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ define the *symmetrization* $\tilde{g}$ of g by

$$\tilde{g}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} g(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(n)}). \tag{3.3}$$

The following *isometry properties* of the operators I_n are crucial. The proof is similar to the one of [15, Theorem 3.1] and is based on the product form (1.11) of the factorial moment measures and some combinatorial arguments. For more information on the intimate relationships between moments of Poisson integrals and the combinatorial properties of partitions we refer to [29, 22, 15].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in L^2(\mu^m)$ and $h \in L^2(\mu^n)$ for $m, n \geq 1$ and assume that $\{g \neq 0\} \subset B^m$ and $\{h \neq 0\} \subset B^n$ for some $B \in \mathscr{X}_0$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} I_m(g) I_n(h) = \mathbb{1}\{m = n\} m! \langle \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \rangle_m. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof: We start with a combinatorial identity. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A *subpartition* of $[n]$ is a (possibly empty) family σ of non-empty pairwise disjoint subsets of $[n]$. The cardinality of $\cup_{J \in \sigma} J$ is denoted by $\|\sigma\|$. For $u \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{X}^n)$ we define $u_\sigma : \mathbb{X}^{|\sigma|+n-\|\sigma\|} \to \mathbb{R}$ by identifying the arguments belonging to the same $J \in \sigma$. (The arguments $x_1, \ldots, x_{|\sigma|+n-\|\sigma\|}$ have to be inserted in the order of occurrence.) Now we take $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $r + s \geq 1$ and define $\Sigma_{r,s}$ as the set of all partititons of $\{1, \ldots, r+s\}$ such that $|J \cap \{1, \ldots, r\}| \leq 1$ and $|J \cap \{r+1, \ldots, r+s\}| \leq 1$ for all $J \in \sigma$. Let $u \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{X}^{r+s})$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint u(x_1, \ldots, x_{r+s}) \eta^{(r)}(\mathrm{d}(x_1, \ldots, x_r)) \eta^{(s)}(\mathrm{d}(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r+s})) \\ = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{r,s}} \int u_\sigma \, \mathrm{d}\eta^{(|\sigma|)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

provided that $\eta(\{u \neq 0\}) < \infty$. (In the case $r = 0$ the inner integral on the left-hand side is interpreted as 1.)

We next note that $g \in L^1(\mu^m)$ and $h \in L^1(\mu^n)$ and abbreviate $f := g \otimes h$. Let $k := m + n$, $J_1 := [m]$ and $J_2 := \{m+1, \ldots, m+n\}$. The definition (3.1) and Fubini's theorem imply that

$$I_m(g)I_n(h) = \sum_{I \subset [k]} (-1)^{n-|I|} \iiint f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \\ \eta^{(|I \cap J_1|)}(\mathrm{d}x_{I \cap J_1}) \eta^{(|I \cap J_2|)}(\mathrm{d}x_{I \cap J_2}) \mu^{n-|I|}(\mathrm{d}x_{I^c}), \tag{3.6}$$

where $I^c := [k] \setminus I$ and $x_J := (x_j)_{j \in J}$ for any $J \subset [k]$. We now take the expectation of (3.6) and use Fubini's theorem (justified by our integrability assumptions on g and h). Thanks to (3.5) and (1.11) we can compute the expectation of the inner two integrals to obtain that

$$\mathbb{E} I_m(g)I_n(h) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}} (-1)^{k-\|\sigma\|} \int f_\sigma \, \mathrm{d}\mu^{k-\|\sigma\|+|\sigma|}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Sigma^*_{m,n}$ is the set of all subpartititons σ of $[k]$ such that $|J \cap J_1| \leq 1$ and $|J \cap J_2| \leq 1$ for all $J \in \sigma$. Let $\Sigma^{*,2}_{m,n} \subset \Sigma^*_{m,n}$ be the set of all subpartititons of $[k]$ such that $|J| = 2$ for all $J \in \sigma$. For any $\pi \in \Sigma^{*,2}_{m,n}$ we let $\Sigma^*_{m,n}(\pi)$ denote the set of all $\sigma \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}$ satisfying $\pi \subset \sigma$. Note that $\pi \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}(\pi)$ and that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}$ there is a unique $\pi \in \Sigma^{*,2}_{m,n}$ such that $\sigma \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}(\pi)$. In this case

$$\int f_\sigma \mathrm{d}\mu^{k-\|\sigma\|+|\sigma|} = \int f_\pi \mathrm{d}\mu^{k-\|\pi\|},$$

so that (3.7) implies

$$\mathbb{E} I_m(g)I_n(h) = \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma^{*,2}_{m,n}} \int f_\pi \mathrm{d}\mu^{k-\|\pi\|} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^*_{m,n}(\pi)} (-1)^{k-\|\sigma\|}. \tag{3.8}$$

The inner sum comes to zero, except in the case where $\|\pi\| = k$. Hence (3.8) vanishes unless $m = n$. In the latter case we have

$$\mathbb{E} I_m(g)I_n(h) = \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma^{*,2}_{m,m} : |\pi| = m} \int f_\pi \, \mathrm{d}\mu^m = m! \langle \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \rangle_m,$$

as asserted. □

Any $g \in L^2(\mu^m)$ is the L^2-limit of a sequence $g_k \in L^2(\mu^m)$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3.1. For instance we may take $g_k := \mathbb{1}_{(B_k)^m} g$, where $\mu(B_k) < \infty$ and $B_k \uparrow \mathbb{X}$ as $k \to \infty$. Therefore the isometry (3.4) allows to extend the linear operator I_m in a unique way to $L^2(\mu^m)$. It follows from the isometry that $I_m(g) = I_m(\tilde{g})$ for all $g \in L^2(\mu^m)$. Moreover, (3.4) remains true for arbitrary $g \in L^2(\mu^m)$ and $h \in L^2(\mu^n)$. It is convenient to set $I_0(c) := c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. When $m \geq 1$, the random variable $I_m(g)$ is the (m-th order) *Wiener-Itô integral* of $g \in L^2(\mu^m)$ with respect to the *compensated Poisson process*

$\hat{\eta} := \eta - \mu$. The reference to $\hat{\eta}$ comes from the explicit definition (3.1). We note that $\hat{\eta}(B)$ is only defined for $B \in \mathscr{X}_0$. In fact, $\{\hat{\eta}(B) : B \in \mathscr{X}_0\}$ is an *independent random measure* in the sense of [6]. The explicit definition (3.1) was noted in [29].

Let $g \in L^2(\mu)$ and $f \in L^2(\mu^n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Sometimes it is useful to write $I_n(f)I_1(g)$ as a sum of stochastic integrals. The following result from [9] shows how this can be done. For any $j \in [n]$ we define a function $f \otimes_j^0 g : \mathbb{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f \otimes_j^0 g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)g(x_j) \tag{3.9}$$

and a function $f \otimes_j^1 g : \mathbb{X}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f \otimes_j^1 g(x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n) := \int f \otimes_j^0 g(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\mu(\mathrm{d}x_j). \tag{3.10}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the latter integrals are finite μ^{n-1}-a.e.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in L^2(\mu^n)$ and $g \in L^2(\mu)$. Assume that $f \otimes_j^0 g \in L^2(\mu^n)$ for all $j \in [n]$. Then $f \otimes_j^1 g \in L^2(\mu^{n-1})$ for all $j \in [n]$ and*

$$I_n(f)I_1(g) = I_{n+1}(f \otimes g) + \sum_{j=1}^n I_n(f \otimes_j^0 g) + \sum_{j=1}^n I_{n-1}(f \otimes_j^1 g), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof: The first assertion is a quick consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, see (3.14) below.

To prove (3.11) we first assume in addition that f and g satsify the assumptions of Lemma 3.1. We can then use (3.6) to obtain that

$$I_n(f)I_1(g) = A_1 - A_2, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$A_1 := \sum_{J \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iiint f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)g(y)\eta(\mathrm{d}y)\eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J)\mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J})$$

and

$$A_2 := \sum_{J \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iiint f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)g(y)\eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J)\mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J})\mu(\mathrm{d}y).$$

From the definition (1.9) of the factorial measures we see that A_1 equals

$$\sum_{J \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iint f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)g(x_{n+1})\eta^{(|J|+1)}(\mathrm{d}x_{J\cup\{n+1\}})\mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J})$$
$$+ \sum_{J \subset [n]} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iint \sum_{j \in J} f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)g(x_j)\eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J)\mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J}).$$

The first sum can be rewritten as a sum over all $J \subset [n+1]$ with $n+1 \in J$. Moreover, it is easy to check that the sum without this restriction gives $I_{n+1}(f \otimes g)$. This yields (after some rearranging)

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 =& I_{n+1}(f \otimes g) + A_2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{J \subset [n] \\ j \in J}} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iint f(x_1, \dots, x_n) g(x_j) \eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J) \mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(f) I_1(g) =& I_{n+1}(f \otimes g) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} I_n(f \otimes_j^0 g) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{J \subset [n] \\ j \notin J}} (-1)^{n-|J|} \iint f(x_1, \dots, x_n) g(x_j) \eta^{(|J|)}(\mathrm{d}x_J) \mu^{n-|J|}(\mathrm{d}x_{[n]\setminus J}) \end{aligned}$$

and (3.11) follows.

In the general case we define, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_k := \mathbb{1}_{(B_k)^n} f$ and $g_k := \mathbb{1}_{B_k} g$, where $\mu(B_k) < \infty$ and $B_k \uparrow \mathbb{X}$ as $k \to \infty$. Then we have not only $f_k \to f$ in $L^2(\mu^n)$ and $g_k \to g$ in $L^2(\mu)$, but also $f_k \otimes_j^0 g_k \to f \otimes_j^0 g$ in $L^2(\mu^n)$ for any $j \in [n]$. We have already shown that

$$I_n(f_k) I_1(g_k) = I_{n+1}(f_k \otimes g_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} I_n(f_k \otimes_j^0 g_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} I_{n-1}(f_k \otimes_j^1 g_k). \tag{3.13}$$

By the triangle and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the left-hand side tends to $I_n(f) I_1(g)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$ as $k \to \infty$. We show that the right-hand side converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Indeed, the isometry (3.4) and the Minkowski inequality yield that

$$\begin{aligned} &\big[\mathbb{E}\big(I_{n+1}(f \otimes g) - I_{n+1}(f_k \otimes g_k)\big)^2\big]^{1/2} = \big[\mathbb{E}\big(I_{n+1}(f \otimes g - f_k \otimes g_k)\big)^2\big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad = \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n+1}\big((f \otimes g - f_k \otimes g_k)^2\big)\big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \le \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n+1}\big(\big(f \otimes (g - g_k)\big)^2\big)\big]^{1/2} + \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n+1}\big(\big((f - f_k) \otimes g_k\big)^2\big)\big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad = \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^n(f^2)\mu\big((g - g_k)^2\big)\big]^{1/2} + \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^n\big((f - f_k)^2\big)\mu\big((g_k)^2\big)\big]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $k \to \infty$, this tends to 0. The other terms in (3.13) can be treated in a similar way. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} &\big[\mathbb{E}\big(I_{n-1}(f \otimes_j^1 g) - I_{n-1}(f_k \otimes_j^1 g_k)\big)^2\big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad = \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n-1}\big((f \otimes_j^1 g - f_k \otimes_j^1 g_k)^2\big)\big]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \le \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n-1}\big((f \otimes_j^1 (g - g_k))^2\big)\big]^{1/2} + \sqrt{(n+1)!}\big[\mu^{n-1}\big((f - f_k) \otimes_j^1 g_k)^2\big)\big]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu^{n-1}\big((f \otimes_j^1 (g - g_k))^2\big) \\ &\quad \le \iint f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x_j) \mu\big((g - g_k)^2\big) \mu^{(n-1)}(\mathrm{d}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)) \\ &\quad = \mu^n\big(f^2\big)\mu\big((g - g_k)^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

This tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Similarly we get that $\mu^{n-1}\big((f - f_k) \otimes_j^1 g_k)^2\big) \to 0$. □

In Section 6 we will generalize Proposition 3.2 to products $I_p(f)I_q(g)$, where $f \in L^2(\mu^p)$ and $g \in L^2(\mu^q)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.

4 The Wiener-Itô chaos expansion

A fundamental result of Itô [6] and Wiener [31] says that every square integrable function of the Poisson process η can be written as an infinite series of orthogonal stochastic integrals. Our aim is to prove the following explicit version of this *Wiener-Itô chaos expansion*. Recall definition (2.4).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$. Then $T_n f \in L^2_s(\mu^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and*

$$f(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(T_n f), \tag{4.1}$$

where the series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Moreover, if $g_n \in L^2_s(\mu^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfy $f(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(g_n)$ with convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, then $g_0 = \mathbb{E}f(\eta)$ and $g_n = T_n f$, μ^n-a.e. on $\mathbb{X}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a homogeneous Poisson process on the real line, the explicit chaos expansion (4.1) was proved in [7]. The general case was formulated and proved in [12]. Stroock [28] has proved the counterpart of (4.1) for Brownian motion. Stroock's formula involves iterated Malliavin derivatives and requires stronger integrability assumptions on $f(\eta)$.

Theorem 4.1 and the isometry properties (3.4) of stochastic integrals show that the isometry $f \mapsto (T_n(f))_{n\geq 0}$ is in fact a bijection from $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ onto the Fock space. The following lemma is the key for the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f(\chi) := e^{-\chi(v)}$, $\chi \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma(\mathbb{X})$, where $v : \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty)$ is a measurable function vanishing outside a set $B \in \mathscr{X}$ with $\mu(B) < \infty$. Then (4.1) holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.*

Proof: By (1.3) and (2.7) the right-hand side of (4.1) equals the formal sum

$$I := \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})] + \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n((e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes n}). \tag{4.2}$$

Using the pathwise definition (3.1) we obtain that almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \eta^{(k)}((e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes k})(\mu(1 - e^{-v}))^{n-k} \\ &= \exp[-\mu(1 - e^{-v})] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \eta^{(k)}((e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes k}) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} (\mu(1 - e^{-v}))^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{1}{k!} \eta^{(k)}((e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes k}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $N := \eta(B)$. Writing $\delta_{X_1} + \cdots + \delta_{X_N}$ for the restriction of η to B, we have almost surely that

$$I = \sum_{J \subset \{1,\ldots,N\}} \prod_{i \in J} (e^{-v(X_i)} - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{-v(X_i)} = e^{-\eta(v)},$$

and hence (4.1) holds with almost sure convergence of the series. To demonstrate that convergence also holds in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, let the partial sum $I(m)$ be given by the right hand side (4.2) with the series terminated at $n = m$. Then since $\mu(1 - e^{-v})$ is nonnegative and $|1 - e^{-v(y)}| \leq 1$ for all y, a similar argument to (4.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |I(m)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\min(N,m)} \frac{1}{k!} |\eta^{(k)}((e^{-v} - 1)^{\otimes k})| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-k+1)}{k!} = 2^N. \end{aligned}$$

Since 2^N has finite moments of all orders, by dominated convergence the series (4.2) (and hence (4.1)) converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ and define $T_n f$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by (2.4). By (3.4) and Theorem 2.1,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{1}{n!} I_n(T_n f)\Big)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \|T_n f\|_n^2 = \mathbb{E} f(\eta)^2 < \infty.$$

Hence the infinite series of orthogonal terms

$$S := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(T_n f)$$

converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Let $h \in \mathbf{G}$, where $\mathbf{G}$ was defined at (2.6). By Lemma 4.2 and linearity of $I_n(\cdot)$ the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(T_n h)$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ to $h(\eta)$. Using (3.4) followed by Theorem 2.1 yields

$$\mathbb{E}(h(\eta) - S)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \|T_n h - T_n f\|_n = \mathbb{E}(f(\eta) - h(\eta))^2.$$

Hence if $\mathbb{E}(f(\eta) - h(\eta))^2$ is small, then so is $\mathbb{E}(f(\eta) - S)^2$. Since $\mathbf{G}$ dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ by Lemma 2.3, it follows that $f(\eta) = S$ almost surely.

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that also $g_n \in L_s^2(\mu^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(g_n)$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ to $f(\eta)$. By taking expectations we must have $g_0 = \mathbb{E} f(\eta) = T_0 f$. For $n \geq 1$ and $h \in L_s^2(\mu^n)$, by (3.4) and (4.1) we have

$$\mathbb{E} f(\eta) I_n(h) = \mathbb{E} I_n(T_n f) I_n(h) = n! \langle T_n f, h \rangle_n$$

and similarly with $T_n f$ replaced by g_n, so that $\langle T_n f - g_n, h \rangle_n = 0$. Putting $h = T_n f - g_n$ gives $\|T_n f - g_n\|_n = 0$ for each n, completing the proof of the theorem. □

5 Malliavin operators

For any $p \geq 0$ we denote by L^p_η the space of all random variables $F \in L^p(\mathbb{P})$ such that $F = f(\eta)$ $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely, for some $f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$. Note that the space L^p_η is a subset of $L^p(\mathbb{P})$ while $L^p(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ is the space of all measurable functions $f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$ satisfying $\int |f|^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_\eta = \mathbb{E}|f(\eta)|^p < \infty$. The *representative* f of $F \in L^p(\mathbb{P})$ is is $\mathbb{P}_\eta$-a.e. uniquely defined element of $L^p(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$. For $x \in \mathbb{X}$ we can then define the random variable $D_x F := D_x f(\eta)$. More generally, we define $D^n_{x_1,\dots,x_n} F := D^n_{x_1,\dots,x_n} f(\eta)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{X}$. The mapping $(\omega, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto D^n_{x_1,\dots,x_n} F(\omega)$ is denoted by $D^n F$ (or by DF in the case $n = 1$). The multivariate Mecke equation (1.10) easily implies that these definitions are $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu$-a.e. independent of the choice of the representative.

By (4.1) any $F \in L^2_\eta$ can be written as

$$F = \mathbb{E}F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(f_n), \tag{5.1}$$

where $f_n := \frac{1}{n!}\mathbb{E}D^n F$. In particular we obtain from (3.4) (or directly from Theorem 2.1) that

$$\mathbb{E}F^2 = (\mathbb{E}F)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \|f_n\|_n^2. \tag{5.2}$$

We denote by $\operatorname{dom} D$ the set of all $F \in L^2_\eta$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \|f_n\|_n^2 < \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

The following result is taken from [12] and generalizes Theorem 6.5 in [7] (see also Theorem 6.2 in [18]). It shows that under the assumption (5.3) the pathwise defined difference operator DF coincides with the *Malliavin derivative* of F. The space $\operatorname{dom} D$ is the *domain* of this operator.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $F \in L^2_\eta$ be given by (5.1). Then $DF \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ iff $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$. In this case we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ that*

$$D_x F = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1}(f_n(x, \cdot)). \tag{5.4}$$

The proof Theorem 5.1 requires some preparations. Since

$$\int \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \|f_n(x, \cdot)\|_{n-1}^2 \Big) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \int \|f_n\|_n^2,$$

(3.4) implies that the infinite series

$$D'_x F := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1} f_n(x, \cdot) \tag{5.5}$$

converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ provided that $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$. By construction of the stochastic integrals we can assume that $(\omega, x) \mapsto (I_{n-1} f_n(x, \cdot))(\omega)$ is measurable for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore we can also assume that the mapping $D'F$ given by $(\omega, x) \mapsto D'_x F(\omega)$ is measurable. We have just seen that

$$\mathbb{E} \int (D'_x F)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \int \|f_n\|_n^2, \quad F \in \operatorname{dom} D. \tag{5.6}$$

Next we introduce an operator acting on random functions that will turn out to be the *adjoint* of the difference operator D, see Theorem 5.3. For $p \geq 0$ let $L^p_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ denote the set of all $H \in L^p(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ satisfying $H(\omega, x) = h(\eta(\omega), x)$ for $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu$-a.e. (ω, x) for some *representative* $h \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X})$. For such a H we have for μ-a.e. x that $H(x) := H(\cdot, x) \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ and (by Theorem 4.1)

$$H(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(h_n(x, \cdot)), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $h_0(x) := \mathbb{E}H(x)$ and $h_n(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \frac{1}{n!} \mathbb{E} D^n_{x_1, \ldots, x_n} H(x)$. We can then define the *Kabanov-Skorohod integral* [2, 9, 30, 10] of H, denoted $\delta(H)$, by

$$\delta(H) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_{n+1}(h_n), \tag{5.8}$$

which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ provided that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)! \int \tilde{h}_n^2 \mathrm{d}\mu^{n+1} < \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

Here

$$\tilde{h}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) := \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{E} D^n_{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}} H(x_i) \tag{5.10}$$

is the symmetrization of h_n. The set of all $H \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ satisfying the latter assumption is the domain $\operatorname{dom} \delta$ of the operator δ.

We continue with a preliminary version of Theorem 5.3.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$. Let $H \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ be given by* (5.7) *and assume that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)! \int h_n^2 d\mu^{n+1} < \infty. \tag{5.11}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \int (D'_x F) H(x) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E} F \delta(H). \tag{5.12}$$

Proof: Minkowski inequality implies (5.9) and hence $H \in \operatorname{dom}\delta$. Using (5.5) and (5.7) together with (3.4), we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D'_x F)H(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!\langle f_n(x,\cdot), h_{n-1}(x,\cdot)\rangle_{n-1}\right)\mu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

where the use of Fubini's theorem is justified by (5.6), the assumption on H and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Swapping the order of summation and integration (to be justified soon) we see that the last integral equals

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!\langle f_n, h_{n-1}\rangle_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n!\langle f_n, \tilde{h}_{n-1}\rangle_n,$$

where we have used the fact that f_n is a symmetric function. By definition (5.8) and (3.4), the last series coincides with $\mathbb{E}F\delta(H)$. The above change of order is permitted since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \int |\langle f_n(x,\cdot), h_{n-1}(x,\cdot)\rangle_{n-1}|\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n! \int \|f_n(x,\cdot)\|_{n-1}\|h_{n-1}(x,\cdot)\|_{n-1}\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

and the latter series is finite in view of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the finiteness of (5.1) and assumption (5.11). □

Proof of Theorem 5.1: We need to show that

$$DF = D'F, \quad \mathbb{P}\otimes\mu\text{-a.e.} \tag{5.13}$$

First consider the case with $f(\chi) = e^{-\chi(v)}$ with a measurable $v : \mathbb{X} \to [0,\infty)$ vanishing outside a set with finite μ-measure. Then $n!f_n = T_n f$ is given by (2.7). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n \cdot n! \int f_n^2 d\mu^n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\exp[2\mu(e^{-v}-1)](\mu((e^{-v}-1)^2))^n$$

which is summable in n, so (5.3) holds in this case. Also, in this case, $D_x f(\eta) = (e^{v(x)} - 1)f(\eta)$ by (2.1), while $f_n(\cdot, x) = (e^{-v(x)} - 1)n^{-1}f_{n-1}$ so that by (5.5),

$$D'_x f(\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(e^{-v(x)} - 1)I_{n-1}(f_{n-1}) = (e^{-v(x)} - 1)f(\eta)$$

where the last inequality is from Lemma 4.2 again. Thus (5.13) holds for f of this form. By linearity this extends to all elements of $\mathbf{G}$.

Let us now consider the general case. Choose $g_k \in \mathbf{G}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $G_k := g_k(\eta) \to F$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ as $k \to \infty$, see Lemma 2.3. Let $H \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P}_\eta \otimes \mu)$ have the representative $h(\chi, x) := h'(\chi)\mathbb{1}_B(x)$, where h' is as in Lemma 4.2 and $B \in \mathscr{X}_0$. From Lemma 4.2 it is easy to see that (5.11) holds. Therefore we obtain from Proposition 5.2 and the linearity of the operator D' that

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D'_x F - D'_x G_k)H(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}(F - G_k)\delta(H) \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D_xF - D_xG_k)H(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int_B \mathbb{E}[(D_xf(\eta) - D_xg_k(\eta))h'(\eta)]\mu(\mathrm{d}x),$$

and by the case $n = 1$ of Lemma 2.4, this tends to zero as $k \to \infty$. Since $D'_x g_k = D_x g_k$ a.s. for μ-a.e. x we obtain from (5.14) that

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D'_x f)h(\eta, x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}\int (D_x f(\eta))h(\eta, x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.15}$$

By Lemma 2.3, the linear combinations of the functions h considered above are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta \otimes \mu)$, and by linearity (5.15) carries through to h in this dense class of functions too, so we may conclude that the assertion (5.13) holds.

It follows from (5.6) and (5.13) that $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$ implies $DF \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$. The other implication was noticed in [23, Lemma 3.1]. To prove it, we assume $DF \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ and apply the Fock space representation (2.5) to $\mathbb{E}(D_xF)^2$ for μ-a.e. x. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathbb{E}(D_xF)^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\iint (\mathbb{E}D^{n+1}_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x})^2\mu^n(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\dots,x_n))\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1)(n+1)!\|f_{n+1}\|^2_{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

and hence $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$. □

The following duality relation (also referred to as partial integration) shows that the operator δ is the adjoint of the difference operator D. It is a special case of Proposition 4.2 in [18] applying to general Fock spaces.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$ and $H \in \operatorname{dom}\delta$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D_xF)H(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}F\delta(H). \tag{5.16}$$

Proof: We fix $F \in \operatorname{dom} D$. Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2 imply that (5.16) holds if $H \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ satisfies the stronger assumption (5.11). For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$H^{(m)}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{m} I_n(h_n(x, \cdot)), \quad x \in \mathbb{X}. \tag{5.17}$$

Since $H^{(m)}$ satisfies (5.11) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\int (D_xF)H^{(m)}(x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathbb{E}F\delta(H^{(m)}). \tag{5.18}$$

From (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathbb{E}(H(x) - H^{(m)}(x))^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x) &= \int\Big(\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n!\|h_n(x, \cdot)\|^2_n\Big)\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\ &= \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n!\|h_n\|^2_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

As $m \to \infty$ this tends to zero, since

$$\mathbb{E} \int H(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \int \mathbb{E}(H(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \|h_n\|_{n+1}^2$$

is finite. It follows that the left-hand side of (5.18) tends to the left-hand side of (5.16).

To treat the right-hand side of (5.18) we note that

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(H - H^{(m)})^2 = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(I_{n+1}(h_n))^2 = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (n+1)! \|\tilde{h}_n\|_{n+1}^2. \tag{5.19}$$

Since $H \in \operatorname{dom} \delta$ this tends to 0 as $m \to \infty$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}(\delta(H) - \delta(H^{(m)}))^2 \to 0$ and the right-hand side of (5.18) tends to the right-hand side of (5.16). □

We continue with a basic isometry property of the Kabanov-Skorohod integral. In the present generality the result is in [16]. A less general version is [27, Proposition 6.5.4].

Theorem 5.4. *Let $H \in L_\eta^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ be such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \iint (D_y H(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \mu(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty. \tag{5.20}$$

Then, $H \in \operatorname{dom} \delta$ and moreover

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(H)^2 = \mathbb{E} \int H(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \mathbb{E} \iint D_y H(x) D_x H(y) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \mu(\mathrm{d}y). \tag{5.21}$$

Proof: Suppose that H is given as in (5.7). Assumption (5.20) implies that $H(x) \in \operatorname{dom} D$ for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$. We therefore deduce from Theorem 5.1 that

$$g(x,y) := D_y H(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n I_{n-1}(h_n(x,y,\cdot))$$

$\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and for μ^2-a.e. $(x,y) \in \mathbb{X}^2$. Using assumption (5.20) together with the isometry properties (3.4), we infer that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \|\tilde{h}_n\|_{n+1}^2 \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n n! \|h_n\|_{n+1}^2 = \mathbb{E} \iint (D_y H(x))^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \mu(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty,$$

yielding that $H \in \operatorname{dom} \delta$.

Now we define $H^{(m)} \in \operatorname{dom} \delta$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by (5.17) and note that

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(H^{(m)})^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \mathbb{E} I_{n+1}(\tilde{h}_n)^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{m} (n+1)! \|\tilde{h}_n\|_{n+1}^2.$$

Using the symmetry properties of the functions h_n it is easy to see that the latter sum equals

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m} n! \int h_n^2 d\mu^{n+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} n n! \iint h_n(x,y,z) h_n(y,x,z) \mu^2(\mathrm{d}(x,y)) \mu^{n-1}(\mathrm{d}z). \tag{5.22}$$

On the other hand, we have from Theorem 5.1 that

$$D_y H^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} n I_{n-1}(h_n(x, y, \cdot)),$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E} \int H^{(m)}(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \mathbb{E} \iint D_y H^{(m)}(x) D_x H^{(m)}(y) \mu(\mathrm{d}x)\mu(\mathrm{d}y)$$

coincides with (5.22). Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(H^{(m)})^2 = \mathbb{E} \int H^{(m)}(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) + \mathbb{E} \iint D_y H^{(m)}(x) D_x H^{(m)}(y) \mu(\mathrm{d}x)\mu(\mathrm{d}y). \tag{5.23}$$

These computations imply that $g_m(x, y) := D_y H^{(m)}(x)$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^2)$ towards g. Similarly, $g'_m(x, y) := D_x H^{(m)}(y)$ converges towards $g'(x, y) := D_x g(y)$. Since we have seen in the proof of Theorem 5.3 that $H^{(m)} \to H$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ as $m \to \infty$, we can now conclude that the right-hand side of (5.23) tends to the right-hand side of the asserted identity (5.21). On the other hand we know by (5.19) that $\mathbb{E}\delta(H^{(m)})^2 \to \mathbb{E}\delta(H)^2$ as $m \to \infty$. This concludes the proof. □

To explain the connection of (5.20) with classical stochastic analysis we assume for a moment that $\mathbb{X}$ is equipped with a transitive binary relation $<$ such that $\{(x, y) : x < y\}$ is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{X}^2$ and such that $x < x$ fails for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. We also assume that $<$ totally orders the points of $\mathbb{X}$ μ-a.e., that is

$$\mu([x]) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{X}, \tag{5.24}$$

where $[x] := \mathbb{X} \setminus \{y \in \mathbb{X} : y < x \text{ or } x < y\}$. For any $\chi \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma$ let χ_x denote the restriction of χ to $\{y \in \mathbb{X} : y < x\}$. Our final assumption on $<$ is that $(\chi, y) \mapsto \chi_y$ is measurable. A measurable function $h : \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *predictable* if

$$h(\chi, x) = h(\chi_x, x), \quad (\chi, x) \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma \times \mathbb{X}. \tag{5.25}$$

A process $H \in L^0_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ is predictable if it has a predictable representative. In this case we have $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu$-a.e. that $D_x H(y) = 0$ for $y < x$ and $D_y H(x) = 0$ for $x < y$. In view of (5.24) we obtain from (5.21) the classical Itô isometry

$$\mathbb{E}\delta(H)^2 = \mathbb{E} \int H(x)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.26}$$

In fact, a combinatorial argument shows that any predictable $H \in L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ is in the domain of δ. We refer to [13] for more detail and references to the literature.

We return to the general setting and derive a pathwise interpretation of the Kabanov-Skorohod integral. For $H \in L^1_\eta(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ with representative h we define

$$\delta'(H) := \int h(\eta - \delta_x, x)\eta(\mathrm{d}x) - \int h(\eta, x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.27}$$

The Mecke equation (1.7) implies that this definition does $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. not depend on the choice of the representative. The next result (see [12]) shows that the Kabanov-Skorohod integral and the operator δ' coincides on the intersection of their domains. In the case of a diffuse intensity measure μ (and requiring some topological assumptions on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathscr{X})$) the result is implicit in [25].

Theorem 5.5. *Let $H \in L^1_\eta(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)\cap \operatorname{dom}\delta$. Then $\delta(H)=\delta'(H)$ $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.*

Proof: Let H have representative h. The Mecke equation (1.7) shows that $\mathbb{E}\int |h(\eta-\delta_x,x)|\eta(\mathrm{d}x)<\infty$ as well as

$$\mathbb{E}\int D_x f(\eta)h(\eta,x)\mu(\mathrm{d}x)=\mathbb{E}f(\eta)\delta'(H), \tag{5.28}$$

whenever $f:\mathbf{N}_\sigma\to\mathbb{R}$ is measurable and bounded. Therefore we obtain from (5.16) that $\mathbb{E}F\delta'(H)=\mathbb{E}F\delta(H)$ provided that $F:=f(\eta)\in\operatorname{dom}D$. By Lemma 2.3 the space of such bounded random variables is dense in $L^2_\eta(\mathbb{P})$, so we may conclude that the assertion holds. □

Finally in this section we discuss the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator* L whose domain is given by all $F\in L^2_\eta$ satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 n!\|f_n\|_n^2<\infty.$$

In this case one defines

$$LF:=-\sum_{n=1}^\infty nI_n(f_n).$$

The (pseudo) *inverse* L^{-1} of L is given by

$$L^{-1}F:=-\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n}I_n(f_n). \tag{5.29}$$

The random variable $L^{-1}F$ is well-defined for any $F\in L^2_\eta$. Moreover, (5.2) implies that $L^{-1}F\in\operatorname{dom}L$. The identity $LL^{-1}F=F$, however, holds only if $\mathbb{E}F=0$.

The three Malliavin operators D,δ and L are connected by a simple formula:

Proposition 5.6. *Let $F\in\operatorname{dom}L$. Then $F\in\operatorname{dom}D$, $DF\in\operatorname{dom}\delta$ and $\delta(DF)=-LF$.*

Proof: The relationship $F\in\operatorname{dom}D$ is a direct consequence of (5.2). Let $H:=DF$. By Theorem 5.1 we can apply (5.8) with $h_n:=(n+1)f_{n+1}$. We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty (n+1)!\|h_n\|^2_{n+1}=\sum_{n=0}^\infty (n+1)!(n+1)^2\|f_{n+1}\|^2_{n+1}.$$

showing that $H\in\operatorname{dom}\delta$. Moreover, since $I_{n+1}(\tilde{h}_n)=I_{n+1}(h_n)$ it follows that

$$\delta(DF)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty I_{n+1}(h_n)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty (n+1)I_{n+1}(f_{n+1})=-LF,$$

finishing the proof. □

The following pathwise representation shows that the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator can be interpreted as the generator of a free *birth and death process* on $\mathbb{X}$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $F \in \operatorname{dom} L$ with representative f and assume that $DF \in L^1_\eta(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)$. Then*

$$LF = \int (f(\eta - \delta_x) - f(\eta))\eta(\mathrm{d}x) + \int (f(\eta + \delta_x) - f(\eta))\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.30}$$

Proof: We use Proposition 5.6. Since $DF \in L^1_\eta(\mathbb{P}\otimes\mu)$ we can apply Theorem 5.5 and the result follows by a straigthforward calculation. □

6 Products of Wiener-Itô integrals

In this section we generalize Proposition 3.2 to products of the form $I_p(f)I_q(g)$, where $f \in L^2(\mu^p)$ and $g \in L^2(\mu^q)$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. To simplify the notation we assume that f and g are symmetric. In this case we define for any $r \in \{0, \dots, p \wedge q\}$ (where $p \wedge q := \min\{p, q\}$) and $l \in [r]$ the *contraction* $f *^l_r g : \mathbb{X}^{p+q-r-l} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &f *^l_r g(x_1, \dots, x_{p+q-r-l}) \\ &\quad := \int f(y_1, \dots, y_l, x_1, \dots, x_{p-l}) \times \\ &\qquad \times g(y_1, \dots, y_l, x_1, \dots, x_{r-l}, x_{p-l+1}, \dots, x_{p+q-r-l})\mu^l(\mathrm{d}(y_1, \dots, y_l)), \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

whenever these integrals are well-defined. In particular $f *^0_0 g = f \otimes g$. In the case $q = 1$ we have $f *^0_1 g = f \otimes^0_1 g$ and $f *^1_1 g = f \otimes^1_1 g$; see (3.9) and (3.10).

Under stronger integrability assumptions (and for diffuse intensity measure), the following result has been proved in [29]. Our proof is quite different and also independent of the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $f \in L^2_s(\mu^p)$ and $f \in L^2_s(\mu^q)$ and assume that $f *^l_r g \in L^2(\mu^{p+q-r-l})$ for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p \wedge q\}$ and $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$. Then*

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{p\wedge q} r! \binom{p}{r}\binom{q}{r} \sum_{l=0}^{r} \binom{r}{l} I_{p+q-r-l}(f *^l_r g), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof: We first note that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies $f *^r_r g \in L^2(\mu^{p+q-2r})$ for all $r \in \{0, \dots, p \wedge q\}$.

We prove (6.2) by induction on $p + q$. For $p \wedge q = 0$ the assertion is trivial. For the induction step we assume that $p \wedge q \geq 1$. If $F, G \in L^0_\eta$, then an easy calculation (using representatives) shows that

$$D_x(FG) = (D_xF)G + F(D_xG) + (D_xF)(D_xG) \tag{6.3}$$

holds $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Using this together with Theorem 5.1 we obtain that

$$D_x(I_p(f)I_q(g)) = pI_{p-1}(f_x)I_q(g) + qI_p(f)I_{q-1}(g_x) + pqI_{p-1}(f_x)I_{q-1}(g_x),$$

where $f_x := f(x, \cdot)$ and $g_x := g(x, \cdot)$. We aim at applying the induction hypothesis to each of the summands on the above right-hand side. To do so, we note that

$$(f_x *^l_r g)(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1+q-r-l}) = f *^l_r g(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1-l}, x, x_{p-1-l+1} \dots, x_{p-1+q-r-l})$$

for all $r \in \{0, \dots, (p-1) \wedge q\}$ and $l \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ and

$$(f_x *_r^l g_x)(x_1, \dots, x_{p-1+q-1-r-l}) = f *_{r+1}^l g(x, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1+q-1-r-l})$$

for all $r \in \{0, \dots, (p-1) \wedge (q-1)\}$ and $l \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. Therefore the pairs (f_x, g), (f, g_x) and (f_x, g_x) satisfy for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ the assumptions of the proposition. The induction hypothesis implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(I_p(f)I_q(g)) = &\sum_{r=0}^{(p-1)\wedge q} r!p\binom{p-1}{r}\binom{q}{r}\sum_{l=0}^{r}\binom{r}{l} I_{p+q-1-r-l}(f_x *_r^l g) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{p\wedge(q-1)} r!q\binom{p}{r}\binom{q-1}{r}\sum_{l=0}^{r}\binom{r}{l} I_{p+q-1-r-l}(f *_r^l g_x) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{(p-1)\wedge(q-1)} r!pq\binom{p-1}{r}\binom{q-1}{r}\sum_{l=0}^{r}\binom{r}{l} I_{p+q-2-r-l}(f_x *_r^l g_x). \end{aligned}$$

A straighforward calculation (left to the reader) implies that the above right-hand side equals

$$\sum_{r=0}^{p\wedge q} r!\binom{p}{r}\binom{q}{r}\sum_{l=0}^{r}\binom{r}{l}(p+q-r-l) I_{p+q-r-l-1}(\widetilde{(f *_r^l g)_x}),$$

where the summand for $p+q-r-l=0$ has to be interpreted as 0. It follows that

$$D_x(I_p(f)I_q(g)) = D_x G, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{X},$$

where G denotes the right-hand side of (6.2). On the other hand, the isometry properties (3.4) show that $\mathbb{E}I_p(f)I_q(g) = \mathbb{E}G$. Since $I_p(f)I_q(g) \in L^1_\eta(\mathbb{P})$ we can use the *Poincaré inequality* of Corollary 8.4. to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(I_p(f)I_q(g) - G)^2 = 0.$$

This finishes the induction and the result is proved. □

In the case $q = 1$ equation (6.2) says that

$$I_p(f)I_1(g) = I_{p+1}(f \otimes g) + pI_p(f \otimes_1^0 g) + pI_{p-1}(f \otimes_1^1 g). \tag{6.4}$$

This coincides with (3.11) since $p^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^p f \otimes_j^0 g$ is the symmetrization of the function $f \otimes_1^0 g$, while $f \otimes_j^1 g$ does not depend on j. If $\{f \neq 0\} \subset B^p$ and $\{g \neq 0\} \subset B^q$ for some $B \in \mathscr{X}_0$ (as in Lemma 3.1), then (6.2) can be established by a direct computation, just as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. The argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [15]. The required integrability follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality; see [15, Remark 3.1]. In the case $q \geq 2$ we do not see, however, how to get from this special to the general case via approximation.

Equation (6.2) can be further generalized so as to cover the case of a finite product of Wiener-Itô integrals. We again refer the reader to [29] as well as to [22, 15].

7 Mehler's formula

In this section we aim at deriving a pathwise representation of the inverse (5.29) of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator. To give the idea we define for $F \in L^2_\eta$ with representation (5.1)

$$T_s F := \mathbb{E}F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-ns} I_n(f_n), \quad s \geq 0. \tag{7.1}$$

The family $\{T_s : s \geq 0\}$ is the *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, see e.g. [27] and also [19] for the Gaussian case. If $F \in \operatorname{dom} L$ then it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \frac{T_s F - F}{s} = L$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, see [19, Proposition 1.4.2] for the Gaussian case. Hence L can indeed be interpreted as the generator of the semigroup. But in the theory of Markov processes it is well-known (see e.g. the resolvent identities in [11, Theorem 19.4]) that

$$L^{-1} F = -\int_0^\infty T_s F ds, \tag{7.2}$$

at least under certain assumptions. What we therefore need is a pathwise representation of the operators T_s. Our guiding star is the birth and death representation in Proposition 5.7.

For $F \in L^1_\eta$ with representative f we define,

$$P_s F := \int \mathbb{E}[f(\eta^{(s)} + \chi) \mid \eta] \Pi_{(1-s)\mu}(\mathrm{d}\chi), \quad s \in [0,1], \tag{7.3}$$

where $\eta^{(s)}$ is a *s-thinning* of η and where $\Pi_{\mu'}$ denotes the distribution of a Poisson process with intensity measure μ'. The thinning $\eta^{(s)}$ can be defined by removing the points in (1.6) independently of each other with probability $1 - s$; see [11, p. 226]. Since

$$\Pi_\mu = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\int \mathbb{1}\{\eta^{(s)} + \chi \in \cdot\} \Pi_{(1-s)\mu}(\mathrm{d}\chi) \bigg], \tag{7.4}$$

this definition does almost surely not depend on the representative of F. Equation (7.4) implies in particular that

$$\mathbb{E}[P_s F] = \mathbb{E}[F], \quad F \in L^1_\eta, \tag{7.5}$$

while Jensen's inequality implies for any $p \geq 1$ the contractivity property

$$\mathbb{E}[(P_s F)^p] \leq \mathbb{E}[|F|^p], \quad s \in [0,1],\ F \in L^2_\eta. \tag{7.6}$$

We prepare the main result of this section with the folowing crucial lemma from [16].

Lemma 7.1. *Let $F \in L^2_\eta$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0,1]$,*

$$D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}(P_s F) = s^n P_s D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} F, \quad \mu^n\text{-a.e. } (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n,\ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \tag{7.7}$$

In particular

$$\mathbb{E}[D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} P_s F] = s^n \mathbb{E}[D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} F], \quad \mu^n\text{-a.e.} (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n. \tag{7.8}$$

Proof: To begin with, we assume that the representative of F is given by $f(\chi) = e^{-\chi(v)}$ for some $v : \mathbb{X} \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\mu(\{v > 0\}) < \infty$. By the definition of a s-thinning,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[e^{-\eta^{(s)}(v)} \mid \eta\big] = \exp\bigg[\int \log\big((1-s) + se^{-v(y)}\big)\eta(\mathrm{d}y)\bigg], \tag{7.9}$$

and it follows from Lemma 12.2 in [11] that

$$\int \exp(-\chi(v))\Pi_{(1-s)\mu}(\mathrm{d}\chi) = \exp\bigg[-(1-s)\int(1-e^{-v})\mathrm{d}\mu\bigg].$$

Hence, the definition (7.3) of the operator P_s implies that the following function f_s is a representative of P_sF:

$$f_s(\chi) := \exp\bigg[-(1-s)\int\big(1-e^{-v}\big)\mathrm{d}\mu\bigg]\exp\bigg[\int\log\big((1-s)+se^{-v(y)}\big)\chi(\mathrm{d}y)\bigg].$$

Therefore we obtain for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$, that

$$D_xP_sF = f_s(\eta+\delta_x) - f_s(\eta) = s\big(e^{-v(x)}-1\big)f_s(\eta) = s\big(e^{-v(x)}-1\big)P_sF.$$

This identity can be iterated to yield for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n$ that

$$D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}P_sF = s^n\prod_{i=1}^n\big(e^{-v(x_i)}-1\big)P_sF.$$

On the other hand we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that

$$P_sD^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}F = P_s\prod_{i=1}^n\big(e^{-v(x_i)}-1\big)F = \prod_{i=1}^n\big(e^{-v(x_i)}-1\big)P_sF,$$

so that (7.7) holds for Poisson functionals of the given form.

By linearity, (7.7) extends to all F with a representative in the set $\mathbf{G}$ of all linear combinations of functions f as above. There are $f_k \in \mathbf{G}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying $F_k := f_k(\eta) \to F = f(\eta)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ as $k \to \infty$, where f is a representative of F (see [12, Lemma 2.1]). Therefore we obtain from the contractivity property (7.6) that

$$\mathbb{E}[(P_sF_k - P_sF)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(P_s(F_k-F))^2] \le \mathbb{E}[(F_k-F)^2] \to 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$. Taking $B \in \mathscr{X}$ with $\mu(B) < \infty$, it therefore follows from [12, Lemma 2.3] that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{B^n}|D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}P_sF_k - D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}P_sF|\mu(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)) \to 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$. On the other hand we obtain from the Fock space representation (2.5) that $\mathbb{E}|D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}F| < \infty$ for μ^n-a.e. $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{X}^n$, so that linearity of P_s and (7.6) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\int_{B^n}&|P_sD^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}F_k - P_sD^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}F|\mu(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_n))\\ &\le \int_{B^n}\mathbb{E}|D^n_{x_1,\ldots,x_n}(F_k-F)|\mu(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)).\end{aligned}$$

Again, this latter integral tends to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Since (7.7) holds for any F_k we obtain that (7.7) holds $\mathbb{P} \otimes (\mu_B)^n$-a.e., and hence also $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^n$-a.e.

Taking the expectation in (7.7) and using (7.5) proves (7.8). □

The following theorem from [16] achieves the desired pathwise representation of the inverse Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $F \in L^2_\eta$. If $\mathbb{E}F = 0$ then we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. that*

$$L^{-1}F = -\int_0^1 s^{-1} P_s F \mathrm{d}s. \tag{7.10}$$

Proof: Assume that F is given as in (5.1). Applying (5.1) to $P_s F$ and using (7.8) yields

$$P_s F = \mathbb{E}F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s^n I_n(f_n), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., } s \in [0,1]. \tag{7.11}$$

Furthermore,

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n} I_n(f_n) = -\int_0^1 s^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m} s^n I_n(f_n) \mathrm{d}s, \quad m \geq 1.$$

Assume now that $\mathbb{E}F = 0$. In view of (5.29) we need to show that the above right-hand side converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$, as $m \to \infty$, to the right-hand of side of (7.10). Taking into account (7.11) we hence have to show that

$$R_m := \int_0^1 s^{-1} \Big(P_s F - \sum_{n=1}^{m} s^n I_n(f_n) \Big) \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^1 s^{-1} \Big(\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} s^n I_n(f_n) \Big) \mathrm{d}s$$

converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ to zero. Using that $\mathbb{E} I_n(f_n) I_m(f_m) = \mathbb{1}\{m = n\} n! \|f_n\|_n^2$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}R_m^2 \leq \int_0^1 s^{-2} \mathbb{E} \Big(\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} s^n I_n(f_n) \Big)^2 \mathrm{d}s = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n! \|f_n\|_n^2 \int_0^1 s^{2n-2} \mathrm{d}s$$

which tends to zero as $m \to \infty$. □

Equation (7.11) implies *Mehler's formula*

$$P_{e^{-s}} F = \mathbb{E}F + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-ns} I_n(f_n), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., } s \geq 0, \tag{7.12}$$

which was proved in [27] for the special case of a finite Poisson process with a diffuse intensity measure. Originally this formula was first established in a Gaussian setting, see e.g. [19]. The family $\{P_{e^{-s}} : s \geq 0\}$ of operators describes a special example of *Glauber dynamics*. Using (7.12) in (7.10) gives the identity (7.1).

8 Covariance identities

The fundamental Fock space isometry (2.5) can be rewritten in several other disguises. We give here two examples, starting with a covariance identity from [4] involving the operators P_s.

Theorem 8.1. *For any $F, G \in \operatorname{dom} D$,*

$$\mathbb{E}[FG] = \mathbb{E}[F]\mathbb{E}[G] + \mathbb{E}\iint_0^1 (D_x F)(P_t D_x G)\mathrm{d}t\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{8.1}$$

Proof: The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the contractivity property (7.6) imply that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\iint_0^1 |D_x F||P_s D_x G|\mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^2 \le \mathbb{E}\int (D_x F)^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\mathbb{E}\int (D_x G)^2\mu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

which is finite due to Theorem 5.1. Therefore we can use Fubini's theorem and (7.7) to obtain that the right-hand side of (8.1) equals

$$\mathbb{E}[F]\mathbb{E}[G] + \iint_0^1 s^{-1}\mathbb{E}[(D_x F)(D_x P_s G)]\mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{8.2}$$

For $s \in [0,1]$ and μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$ we can apply the Fock space isometry Theorem 2.1 to $D_x F$ and $D_x P_s G$. Taking into account Lemma 7.1, (7.5) and applying Fubini again (to be justified below) yields that the second summand in (8.2) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_0^1 s^{-1}\mathbb{E}[D_x F]\mathbb{E}[D_x P_s G]\mathrm{d}s\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&+\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!}\iiint_0^1 s^{-1}\mathbb{E}[D^{n+1}_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x}F]\mathbb{E}[D^{n+1}_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x}P_s G]\mathrm{d}s\\
&\qquad\qquad \mu^n(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\dots,x_n))\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\
=&\int \mathbb{E}[D_x F]\mathbb{E}[D_x G]\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&+\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n!}\iiint_0^1 s^n\mathbb{E}[D^{n+1}_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x}F]\mathbb{E}[D^{n+1}_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x}G]\mathrm{d}s\mu^n(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\dots,x_n))\mu(\mathrm{d}x)\\
=&\sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m!}\int \mathbb{E}[D^m_{x_1,\dots,x_m}F]\mathbb{E}[D^m_{x_1,\dots,x_m}G]\mu^m(\mathrm{d}(x_1,\dots,x_m)).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (8.2) and applying Theorem 2.1 yields the asserted formula (8.1). The use of Fubini's theorem is justified by Theorem 2.1 for $f = g$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. □

The integrability assumptions of Theorem 8.1 can be reduced to mere square integrability when using a symmetric formulation. Under the assumptions of Theorem 8.1 the following result was proved in [4]. An even more general version is [12, Theorem 1.5].

Theorem 8.2. *For any $F \in L^2_\eta$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\iint_0^1 (\mathbb{E}[D_x F \mid \eta^{(t)}])^2 \mathrm{d}t\mu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty, \tag{8.3}$$

and for any $F, G \in L^2_\eta$,

$$\mathbb{E}[FG] = \mathbb{E}[F]\mathbb{E}[G] + \mathbb{E}\iint_0^1 \mathbb{E}[D_x F \mid \eta^{(t)}]\mathbb{E}[D_x G \mid \eta^{(t)}]\mathrm{d}t\mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{8.4}$$

Proof: It is well-known (and not hard to prove) that $\eta^{(t)}$ and $\eta - \eta^{(t)}$ are independent Poisson processes with intensity measures $t\mu$ and $(1-t)\mu$, respectively. Therefore we have for $F \in L^2_\eta$ with representative f that

$$\mathbb{E}[D_x F|\eta_t] = \int D_x f(\eta^{(t)} + \chi)\Pi_{(1-t)\mu}(\mathrm{d}\chi) \tag{8.5}$$

holds almost surely. It is easy to see that the right-hand side of (8.5) is a measurable function of (the suppressed) $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$, and $t \in [0,1]$.

Now we take $F, G \in L^2_\eta$ with representatives f and g. Let us first assume that $DF, DG \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$. Then (8.3) follows from the (conditional) Jensen inequality while (8.5) implies for all $t \in [0,1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(D_x F)(P_t D_x G)] &= \mathbb{E}\left[D_x F \int D_x g(\eta^{(t)} + \mu)\Pi_{(1-t)\mu}(\mathrm{d}\mu)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[D_x F\, \mathbb{E}[D_x G \mid \eta^{(t)}]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[D_x F \mid \eta^{(t)}]\mathbb{E}[D_x G \mid \eta^{(t)}]].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (8.4) is just another version of (8.1).

In this second step of the proof we consider general $F, G \in L^2_\eta$. Let $F_k \in L^2_\eta$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence such that $DF_k \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu)$ and $\mathbb{E}(F - F_k)^2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We have just proved that

$$\mathrm{Var}[F_k - F^l] = \mathbb{E}\int (\mathbb{E}[D_x F_k \mid \eta^{(t)}] - \mathbb{E}[D_x F^l \mid \eta^{(t)}])^2 \mu^*(\mathrm{d}(x,t)), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

where μ^* is the product of μ and Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. Since $L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*)$ is complete, there is an $h \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\int (h(x,t) - \mathbb{E}[D_x F_k \mid \eta^{(t)}])^2 \mu^*(\mathrm{d}(x,t)) = 0. \tag{8.6}$$

On the other hand it follows from Lemma 2.4 that for any $C \in \mathcal{X}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C\times[0,1]} \mathbb{E}\big|\mathbb{E}[D_x F_k \mid \eta^{(t)}] - \mathbb{E}[D_x F \mid \eta^{(t)}]\big|\mu^*(\mathrm{d}(x,t)) \\ \le \int_{C\times[0,1]} \mathbb{E}|D_x F_k - D_x F|\mu^*(\mathrm{d}(x,t)) \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $k \to \infty$. Comparing this with (8.6) shows that $h(\omega, x, t) = \mathbb{E}[D_x F \mid \eta^{(t)}](\omega)$ for $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*$-a.e. $(\omega, x, t) \in \Omega \times C \times [0,1]$ and hence also for $\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*$-a.e. $(\omega, x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{X} \times [0,1]$.

Therefore the fact that $h \in L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*)$ implies (8.4). Now let G_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence approximating G. Then equation (8.4) holds with (F_k, G_k) instead of (F, G). But the second summand is just a scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{P} \otimes \mu^*)$. Taking the limit as $k \to \infty$ and using the L^2-convergence proved above, yields the general result. □

A quick consequence of the previous theorem is the *Poincaré inequality* for Poisson processes. The following general version is taken from [32]. A more direct approach can be based on the Fock space representation in Theorem 2.1, see [12].

Theorem 8.3. *For any $F \in L^2_\eta$,*

$$\operatorname{Var} F \le \mathbb{E} \int (D_x F)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{8.7}$$

Proof: Take $F = G$ in (8.4) and apply Jensen's inequality. □

The following extension of (8.7) (taken from [16]) has been used in the proof of Proposition 6.1.

Corollary 8.4. *For $F \in L^1_\eta$,*

$$\mathbb{E}F^2 \le (\mathbb{E}F)^2 + \mathbb{E} \int (D_x F)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{8.8}$$

Proof: For $s > 0$ we define

$$F_s = \mathbb{1}\{F > s\}s + \mathbb{1}\{-s \le F \le s\}F - \mathbb{1}\{F < -s\}s$$

By definition of F_s we have $F_s \in L^2_\eta$ and $|D_x F_s| \le |D_x F|$ for μ-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Together with the Poincaré inequality (8.7) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}F_s^2 \le (\mathbb{E}F_s)^2 + \mathbb{E} \int (D_x F_s)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le (\mathbb{E}F_s)^2 + \mathbb{E} \int (D_x F)^2 \mu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

By the monotone convergence theorem and the dominated convergence theorem, respectively, we have that $\mathbb{E}F_s^2 \to \mathbb{E}F^2$ and $\mathbb{E}F_s \to \mathbb{E}F$ as $s \to \infty$. Hence letting $s \to \infty$ in the previous inequality yields the assertion. □

As a second application of Theorem 8.2 we obtain the *Harris-FKG inequality* for Poisson processes, derived in [8]. Given $B \in \mathscr{X}$, a function $f \in \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_\sigma)$ is *increasing on* B if $f(\chi + \delta_x) \ge f(\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \mathbf{N}_\sigma$ and all $x \in B$. It is *decreasing on* B if $(-f)$ is increasing on B.

Theorem 8.5. *Suppose $B \in \mathscr{X}$. Let $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_\eta)$ be increasing on B and decreasing on $\mathbb{X} \setminus B$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\eta)g(\eta)] \ge (\mathbb{E}f(\eta))(\mathbb{E}g(\eta)). \tag{8.9}$$

It was noticed in [32] that the correlation inequality (8.9) (also referred to as *association*) is a direct consequence of a covariance identity.

Acknowledgment: The proof of Proposition 6.1 is joint work with Matthias Schulte.

References

[1] Dellacherie, C. and Meyer, P.A. (1978). *Probabilities and Potential.* Mathematics Studies, Volume 29, Hermann, Paris. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam and New York.

[2] Hitsuda, M. (1972). Formula for Brownian partial derivatives. Proceedings of the 2nd Japan-USSR Symposium on Probability Theory. 111-114.

[3] Houdré, C., and Perez-Abreu, V. (1995). Covariance identities and inequalities for functionals on Wiener space and Poisson space. *Ann. Probab.* **23**, 400-419.

[4] Houdré, C. and Privault, N. (2002). Concentration and deviation inequalities in infinite dimensions via covariance representations. *Bernoulli* **8**, 697-720.

[5] Itô, K. (1951). Multiple Wiener integral. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3**, 157-169.

[6] Itô, K. (1956). Spectral type of the shift transformation of differential processes with stationary increments. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**, 253-263.

[7] Ito, Y. (1988). Generalized Poisson functionals. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **77**, 1-28.

[8] Janson, S. (1984). Bounds on the distributions of extremal values of a scanning process. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **18**, 313-328.

[9] Kabanov, Y.M. (1975). On extended stochastic integrals. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **20**, 710-722.

[10] Kabanov, Y.M. and Skorokhod, A.V. (1975). Extended stochastic integrals. Proceedings of the School-Seminar on the Theory of Random Processes. Druskininkai, November 25-30, 1974. Part I. Vilnius, (Russian).

[11] Kallenberg, O. (2002). *Foundations of Modern Probability.* Second Edition, Springer, New York.

[12] Last, G. and Penrose, M.D. (2011). Fock space representation, chaos expansion and covariance inequalities for general Poisson processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **150**, 663–690.

[13] Last, G. and Penrose, M.D. (2011). Martingale representation for Poisson processes with applications to minimal variance hedging. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **121**, 1588-1606.

[14] Last, G. and Penrose, M.D. (2014). *Lectures on the Poisson Process.* Cambridge University Press, in preparation.

[15] Last, G., Penrose, M.D., Schulte, M. and Thäle, C. (2014). Moments and central limit theorems for some multivariate Poisson functionals. To appear in *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*

[16] Last, G., Peccati, G. and Schulte, M. (2014). Normal approximation on Poisson spaces: Mehler's formula, second order Poincaré inequalities and stabilization. arXiv:1401.7568.

[17] Mecke, J. (1967). Stationäre zufällige Maße auf lokalkompakten Abelschen Gruppen. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **9**, 36-58.

[18] Nualart, D. and Vives, J. (1990). Anticipative calculus for the Poisson process based on the Fock space. In: Séminaire de Probabilités XXIV, Lecture Notes in Math., **1426**, 154-165.

[19] Nualart, D. (2006). *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics.* Springer, Berlin.

[20] Ogura, H. (1972). Orthogonal functionals of the Poisson processes. *Trans. IEEE Inf. Theory* **18**, 473-481.

[21] Peccati, G. and Reitzner, M. (2015). *Stochastic Analysis for Poisson Point Processes: Malliavin Calculus, Wiener-Itô Chaos Expansions and Stochastic Geometry.* Bocconi & Springer Series, Vol. 7, to appear.
http://www.springer.com/mathematics/probability/book/978-3-319-05232-8

[22] Peccati, G. and Taqqu, M.S. (2011). *Wiener Chaos: Moments, Cumulants and Diagrams.* Springer, Milan.

[23] Peccati, G. and Thäle, C. (2013). Gamma limits and U-statistics on the Poisson space. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **10**, 525-560.

[24] Picard, J. (1996). Formules de dualité sur lespace de Poisson. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **32**, 509-548.

[25] Picard, J. (1996). On the existence of smooth densities for jump processes. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **105**, 481-511.

[26] Privault, N. (2001). Extended covariance identities and inequalities. Statist. Probab. Lett. **55**, 247-255.

[27] Privault, N. (2009). *Stochastic Analysis in Discrete and Continuous Settings with Normal Martingales.* Springer, Berlin.

[28] Stroock, D.W. (1987). Homogeneous chaos revisited, *Séminaire de Probabilités XXI*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1247**, Springer, pp. 1-8.

[29] Surgailis, D. (1984). On multiple Poisson stochastic integrals and associated Markov semigroups. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **3**, 217-239.

[30] Skorohod, A. V. (1975). On a generalization of a stochastic integral. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **20**, 219-233.

[31] Wiener, N. (1938). The homogeneous chaos. *Amer. J. Math.* **60**, 897-936.

[32] Wu, L. (2000). A new modified logarithmic Sobolev inequality for Poisson point processes and several applications. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **118**, 427-438.